

Case 2:10-cv-00492-CW-SA Document 46 Filed 06/21/10 Page 1 of 1 THE LAW OFFICES OF E. CRAIG SMAY

174 East South Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: 801-539-8515 • Facsimile: 801-539-8544

RECEIVED CLERK

JUN / 1 2010

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Judge Clark Waddoups 450 S. Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Re: Peni Cox v. Recontrust Company N.A., Case No: 2:10cv492

Your Honor,

At hearing this morning, you observed that rulings on jurisdiction in similar cases before the court may not be fully dispositive, in view of the doctrine of preemption respecting national banks insofar as applicable in the present case. I understood the point to be that the pre-emption doctrine regarding a national bank, being a federal question, may provide independent jurisdiction.

Upon return to my office, I pulled up your honor's Memoranda Decision (February 12, 2010, April 29, 2010, respectively) in *Commonwealth Property Advocates*, *LLC v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, National Association and John Does of Unknown Numbers*, Case No. 2:09-cv-1138 CW, *Commonwealth Property Advocates*, *LLC v. Onewest Bank FSB, MERS, and John Does of Unknown Numbers*, Case No. 2:09-cv-1148 CW, and *Commonwealth Property Advocates*, *LLC v. Ocwen Loan Servicing*, *LLC, MERS, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and John Does of Unknown Numbers*, Case No. 2:10-cv-86 CW. While the Court did not mention the presence of national banks in the first and third of these cases, or of a federal savings bank in the second, and I did not then expressly raise it, the ruling was uniformly dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.

Respectfully,

E. Craig Smay

John Christian Barlow

cc:

Richard Ensor -Email: rick@vantuslaw.com

Michael Huber -Email: mhuber@huberlawfirm.com